A SHORT *Reductio-Ad-Absurdum* Refutation of SPECIAL RELATIVITY

by

<u>Ardeshir Mehta</u>

(This version finalised on Friday, August 17, 2001)

INTRODUCTION

The following is one of the shortest possible refutations of Special Relativity.

REDUCTIO AD ABSURDUM

- 1. By the definitions of the terms "acceleration" and "deceleration", if a body is *accelerating* rectilinearly its velocity *increases*, while if it is *decelerating* rectilinearly, its velocity *decreases*.
- 2. And according to Special Relativity, the greater the velocity of a clock, the slower it ticks and *vice versa*.
- 3. So an accelerating clock should gradually tick *slower and slower* as time passes, while a decelerating clock should gradually tick *faster and faster* as time passes.
- 4. However, according to Special Relativity, a single object can be both accelerating and decelerating *simultaneously*.*
- 5. Thus, according to Special Relativity, a single clock can both be gradually ticking *slower and slower* as time passes, and also gradually ticking *faster and faster* as time passes!
- 6. This is *logically* impossible.
- 7. Therefore either it is false that the greater the velocity of a clock, the slower it ticks and *vice versa;* or it is false that the same object can be accelerating and decelerating simultaneously ... either of which disproves the Special Theory of Relativity.

^{*} Some people might not get just *how* this can be, and so here's a simple explanation: Imagine two objects, A and B, receding from each other rectilinearly at uniform velocity; now send a third object, C, from A toward B at a rate of acceleration high enough to ensure that C eventually will arrive at B. Then during its trip from A to B, the single object C will be *accelerating* with respect to A and simultaneously *decelerating* with respect to B.

COMMENTS

If you have any comments, please *e-mail me*.